UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/713,724	11/13/2003	David W. Freet	93214.036	2060
Paul F. Wille	7590 10/15/200	7	EXAM	IINER
6407 East Clinton Street Scottsdale, AZ 85254			FOX, CHARLES A	
Scottsdate, AZ	83234		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3652	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/15/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

The state of the s	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summers	10/713,724	FREET ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Charles A. Fox	3652				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	oears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNION (136(a). In no event, however, may a will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON (6), cause the application to become Af	CATION. reply be timely filed VTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 25 S	September 2007.					
· <u> </u>	,—					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under l	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.E). 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 11-13,15,16,23,24,26 and 27 is/are p	4) Claim(s) 11-13,15,16,23,24,26 and 27 is/are pending in the application.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	<u> </u>					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>11-13,15,16,23,24,26 and 27</u> is/are r	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>11-13,15,16,23,24,26 and 27</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		,				
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	ar					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>13 November 2003</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct						
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer. Note the attache	d Office Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35 U.S.C. 8	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority document	ts have been received in A	Application No				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the price	ority documents have been	received in this National Stage				
application from the International Burea	u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not	received.				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413)				
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 		(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application				

Art Unit: 3652

In view of the arguments filed on September 25, 2007, PROSECUTION IS HEREBY REOPENED. New rejections are set forth below.

To avoid abandonment of the application, appellant must exercise one of the following two options:

- (1) file a reply under 37 CFR 1.111 (if this Office action is non-final) or a reply under 37 CFR 1.113 (if this Office action is final); or,
- (2) initiate a new appeal by filing a notice of appeal under 37 CFR 41.31 followed by an appeal brief under 37 CFR 41.37. The previously paid notice of appeal fee and appeal brief fee can be applied to the new appeal. If, however, the appeal fees set forth in 37 CFR 41.20 have been increased since they were previously paid, then appellant must pay the difference between the increased fees and the amount previously paid.

A Supervisory Patent Examiner (SPE) has approved of reopening prosecution by signing below:

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAL

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 11 and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Williams et al. Williams et al. US 6,726,435 teaches a device for a vehicle comprising:

a lift with a first horizontal telescoping member(16), coupled to said vehicle within a protected area;

a second vertical telescopic member (24) comprising at least two nested slides (52,54) attached to said first telescopic member;

a tool (12) comprising a platform coupled to said second telescopic member and movable along two orthogonal axes of motion defined by the telescopic members;

wherein both of the telescopic members have at least two nested slides;

wherein the first slide (28) has a pair of rollers (30) on each side and the second slide (14) has a pair of U-shaped channels for enclosing said rollers such that the slides provide telescopic action relative to a base member (36). Williams does not teach the particulars of the second telescopic assembly, but It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time of invention to use the same type of assembly as used in the horizontal telescopic section in order to allow the nested slides to move freely without binding due to the moment force caused by placing a heavy object on the tool.

Claims 12,13,23 and 24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Williams et al. as applied to claims 11 and 16 above, and further in view of Walkden. Williams et al. teach the limitations of claims 11 and 16 as above.

Application/Control Number: 10/713,724

Art Unit: 3652

they do not teach any particular structure to the vertical telescopic members. Walkden

US 5,795,125 teaches a telescopic device comprising:

a first slide member (22) with rollers (70) thereon;

said rollers being mounted on each lateral side of said slide;

said slides also have a block (72) thereon for engaging a channel on a side

opposite the rollers;

a second nested slide (24) said channels on opposite sides thereof;

said channels enclosing said rollers and blocks to provide a telescopic action;

wherein a block on either side of the slide is located between the two rollers on

that respective side;

wherein said blocks stabilize the motion of the slide by biasing the carriage from

side to side. While Walkden does not teach biasing the wheels relative to the blocks it

is inherent that the wheels are preloaded as they are kept in a particular spacing via the

blocks relative to the guide channels. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill

in the art, at the time of invention to provide the device taught by Williams et al. with a

telescopic assembly as taught by Walkden in order to allow the slides to move easily

past one another while maintaining the alignment of said slides in all directions.

Claims 15,26 and 27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable

over Williams et al. as applied to claims 11 and 16 above, and further in view of

Ringdahl et al. Williams teaches the limitations of claims 11 and 16 as above, they also

teach drive motors for vertical and horizontal movement of the tool with an associated

controller being inherent in the system. They do not explicitly teach any type of switch

Art Unit: 3652

for their controller. Ringdahl et al. US 6,357,992 teaches a lift device for a wheel chair said device including a single switch (502) that initiates lifting and lowering of a platform (10) wherein the switch is connected to a microprocessor. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time of invention to provide the device taught by Williams et al. with a double throw switch and a microprocessor in order to control the device with a minimum of switches.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to the claims have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Charles A. Fox whose telephone number is 571-272-6923. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:00-4:00 Monday-Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Saul Rodriguez can be reached on 571-272-7097. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/713,724

Art Unit: 3652

Page 6

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Charles A. Fox
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 3652